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Recently, stories carried by the media have caused some parents to fear that the combination measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (MMR) causes autism. This article provides a summary of the studies used to support the hypothesis that MMR causes autism, the studies that refute this hypothesis, and other investigations into the causes of autism.

The “Wakefield” Studies: Studies Hypothesizing That MMR Causes Autism

Two studies have been cited by those claiming that the MMR vaccine causes autism. This section summarizes those studies and lists their critical flaws.

The first Wakefield paper

In 1998, Andrew Wakefield and colleagues published a paper in The Lancet titled “Ileal-lymphoid-nodular hyperplasia, non-specific colitis, and pervasive developmental disorder in children.” Wakefield’s hypothesis was that the MMR vaccine causes a series of events that include intestinal inflammation, loss of intestinal barrier function, entrance into the bloodstream of encephalopathic proteins, and consequent development of autism. In support of his hypothesis, Dr. Wakefield described 12 children with neurodevelopmental delay (8 with autism). All of these children had gastrointestinal complaints and developed autism within 1 month of receiving MMR.

Critical flaws

• About 90% of children in England received MMR at the time this paper was written. Because MMR is administered at a time when many children are diagnosed with autism, it would be expected that most children with autism would have received an MMR vaccine, and that many would have received the vaccine recently. The observation that some children with autism recently received MMR is, therefore, expected. However, determination of whether MMR causes autism is best made by studying the incidence of autism in both vaccinated and unvaccinated children. This wasn’t done.

• Although the authors claim that autism is a consequence of gastrointestinal inflammation, gastrointestinal symptoms were observed after, not before, symptoms of autism in all eight cases.

The second Wakefield paper

In 2002, Wakefield and coworkers published a second paper examining the relationship between measles virus and autism. The authors tested intestinal biopsy samples for the presence of measles virus genome from children with and without autism. Measles virus genome was detected by reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and in situ hybridization. Seventy-five of 90 children with autism were found to have measles virus genome in intestinal biopsy tissue as compared with only 5 of 70 control patients. On its surface, this was a concerning result. However, this paper was also critically flawed.

Critical flaws

• Measles vaccine virus is live and attenuated. After inoculation, the vaccine virus probably replicates 15-20 times. Measles vaccine virus is likely to be taken up by specific cells responsible for virus uptake and presentation to the immune system (termed antigen-presenting cells or APCs). Macrophages, B cells, and dendritic cells (DC) are different types of APCs. Because all APCs are mobile, and can travel throughout the body (including the intestine), it is plausible that a child immunized with MMR would have measles virus genome detected in intestinal tissues using a very sensitive assay (such as RT-PCR). To determine if MMR is associated with autism one must determine if the finding is specific for children with autism. Therefore, children with or without autism must be identical in two ways. First, children with or without autism must be matched for immunization status (i.e., receipt of the MMR vaccine).

Second, children must be matched for the length of time between receipt of MMR vaccine and collection of the biopsy specimen. Although this information was clearly available to the investigators and critical to their hypothesis, it was specifically omitted from the paper.

• Because natural measles virus is still circulating in England, it would have been important to determine whether the measles virus genome detected in these samples was natural measles virus or vaccine virus. Although primers are available to distinguish these two types of virus, the authors chose not to use them.

• RT-PCR is a very sensitive assay. Laboratories that work with natural measles virus (such as the lab where these studies were performed) are at high risk of getting false positive results. No mention is made in the paper as to how this problem was avoided.

• As is true for all laboratory studies, the person who is performing the test should not know whether the sample is obtained from a case or a control (blinding). Because no statement is made in the method section, it is unclear that blinding of samples occurred.
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Studies Showing That MMR Vaccine Does Not Cause Autism

Five major studies have been performed to refute a causal association between receipt of MMR and autism.

1. **The first Taylor paper**
   In 1999, Brent Taylor and coworkers examined the relationship between receipt of MMR and development of autism in an excellent, well-controlled study.(10) Taylor examined the records of 498 children with autism or autism-like disorder. Cases were identified by registers from the North Thames region of England before and after the MMR vaccine was introduced into the United Kingdom in 1988. Taylor then examined the incidence and age at diagnosis of autism in vaccinated and unvaccinated children. He found the following: 1) the percentage of children vaccinated was the same in children with autism as in other children in the North Thames region; 2) no difference in the age of diagnosis of autism was found in vaccinated and unvaccinated children; and 3) the onset of “regressive” symptoms of autism did not occur within 2, 4, or 6 months of receiving the MMR vaccine.

2. **The JAMA paper**
   In 2001, Natalie Smith and coworkers examined the relationship between the increase in the number of cases of autism in California and receipt of the MMR vaccine.(11) The percentage of children immunized with MMR vaccine between 1980 and 1994 was compared with the incidence of autism during the same period. Although a dramatic increase in the incidence of children with autism was reported, the percentage of children that received MMR vaccine remained the same.

3. **The British Medical Journal paper**
   In a study that supported the findings in the JAMA paper, Hershel Jick and coworkers examined the incidence of autism in England between 1988 and 1993 and compared this with MMR immunization rates.(12) Although the incidence of autism increased, MMR immunization rates remained the same.

4. **The second Taylor paper**
   A second study by Brent Taylor and coworkers examined the relationship between MMR vaccine and “new variant autism” (Wakefield’s claim that autism is associated with inflammation of the small intestine).(13) Children with autism diagnosed between 1979 and 1998 were examined. The authors compared the number of children with autism and intestinal symptoms before 1988 and after 1988 (MMR was introduced into England in 1988). There was no difference. They concluded that there was, therefore, no evidence for “new variant autism” and provided further evidence that MMR vaccine was not associated with autism.

5. **The Madsen paper**
   Perhaps the best study was that performed by Madsen and colleagues in Denmark between 1991 and 1998 and reported in the New England Journal of Medicine.(14) The study included 537,303 children representing 2,129,864 person-years of study. Approximately 82 percent of children had received the MMR vaccine. The group of children was selected from the Danish Civil Registration System, vaccination status was obtained from the Danish National Board of Health, and children with autism were identified from the Danish Central Register. The risk of autism in the group of vaccinated children was the same as that in unvaccinated children. Furthermore, there was no association between the age at the time of vaccination, the time since vaccination, or the date of vaccination and the development of autism.

Studies On The Causes of Autism

Studies have focused on the genetics of autism and the timing of the first symptoms of autism.

**Genetics of autism**

One of the best ways to determine whether a particular disease or syndrome is genetic is to examine the incidence in identical (monozygotic) and fraternal (dizygotic) twins. Using a strict definition of autism, when one twin has autism, 60% of identical and 0% of fraternal twins have autism. Using a broader definition of autism (i.e., autistic spectrum disorder), when one twin has autism, approximately 92% of identical and 10% of fraternal twins have autism.(15)

Therefore, autism clearly has a genetic basis.

**Timing of development of autism**

- **Autism symptoms are present before 1 year of age**
  Perhaps the best data examining when symptoms of autism are first evident are the “home-movie studies.” These studies took advantage of the fact that many parents take movies of their children during their first birthday (before they have received the MMR vaccine). Home movies from children who were eventually diagnosed with autism and those who were not diagnosed with autism were shown to blinded neurodevelopmental specialists. Investigators were, with a very high degree of accuracy, able to separate autistic from non-autistic children at 1 year of age.(10-14)

  These studies found that subtle symptoms of autism are present earlier than some parents had suspected, and that receipt of the MMR vaccine did not precede the first symptoms of autism.

- **Autism symptoms are present before 4 months of age**
  Other investigators extended the home-movie studies of 1-year-old children to include videotapes of children taken at 2-3 months of age. Using a sophisticated movement analysis, videos from children eventually diagnosed with autism or not diagnosed with autism were coded and evaluated for their capacity to predict autism. Children who were eventually diagnosed with autism were predicted from movies taken in early infancy.(15)

  This study supported the hypothesis that very subtle symptoms of autism are present in early infancy and argue strongly against vaccines as a cause of autism.

- **Evidence that autism occurs in utero**
  Toxic or viral insults in utero as well as certain central nervous system disorders are associated with an increase in the incidence of autism.

  For example, children exposed to thalidomide during the first or early second trimester were found to have an increased incidence of autism.(16) However, autism occurred in children with ear, but not arm or leg, abnormalities. Because arms and legs develop after 24 days gestation, the risk period for autism following receipt of thalidomide must be before 24 days gestation. In support of this finding, Rodier and colleagues(17) found evidence for structural brainstem abnormalities in children with autism. These abnormalities could only have occurred (continued on page 3)
during brainstem development in utero.

Similarly, children with congenital rubella syndrome are at increased risk for development of autism. Risk is associated with exposure to rubella prenatally, but not postnatally.

Finally, children with fragile X syndrome or tuberous sclerosis are also at increased risk of developing autism.

Taken together, these findings indicate that autism is likely due to abnormalities of the central nervous system that occur in utero.

**Summing Up**

Studies of 1) the genetics of autism, 2) the timing of the first symptoms of autism (home-movie studies), 3) the relationship between autism and the receipt of the MMR vaccine, 4) the histopathology of the central nervous system of children with autism, and 5) thalidomide, natural rubella infection, fragile X syndrome, and tuberous sclerosis all support the fact that autism occurs during development of the central nervous system early in utero.

Unfortunately, for current and future parents of children with autism, the controversy surrounding vaccines has diverted attention and resources away from a number of promising leads.
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